MT PISGAH ELEMENTARY 5160 Mt. Pisgah Road Kershaw, South Carolina 29067 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 142 Students ENROLLMENT Duane Pate 803-475-6791 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Herbert M.Berg 803-432-8416 Dana A. Morris 803-432-4391 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 11 48 35 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

YES

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	10	25	15
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	92.0%	80.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	100.0%	73.3%
Parcent satisfied with home-school relations	90 n%	80.0%	86 7%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 84 100.0 23.7 44.7 26.3 5.3 31.6 17.6 Gender Male 43 100.0 29.7 35.1 32.4 2.7 35.1 17.6 Female 100.0 17.9 53.8 20.5 7.7 28.2 17.6 41 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 21.0 43.5 30.6 4.8 35.5 17.6 White 66 African-American 100.0 50.0 40.0 10.0 10.0 17.6 14 N/A Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 18.5 46.2 29.2 35.4 72 6.2 17.6 Disabled 12 100.0 54.5 36.4 9.1 N/A 9.1 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 84 100.0 23.7 44.7 26.3 5.3 31.6 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0.0 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 100.0 23.7 44.7 26.3 5.3 31.6 17.6 84 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 100.0 20.5 54.5 20.5 4.5 25.0 17.6 48 Full-pay meals 36 100.0 28.1 31.3 34.4 6.3 40.6 17.6 Mathematics All students 84 100.0 15.8 50.0 26.3 7.9 34.2 15.5 Gender Male 32.4 100.0 13.5 45.9 8.1 40.5 43 15.5 Female 100.0 17.9 53.8 20.5 7.7 28.2 15.5 41 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 17.7 45.2 29.0 8.1 37.1 15.5 66 African-American 14 100.0 10.0 0.08 N/A 10.0 10.0 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 4 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 12.3 49.2 29.2 9.2 38.5 15.5 72 Disabled 100.0 36.4 54.5 N/A 15.5 12 9.1 9.1 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 84 100.0 15.8 50.0 26.3 7.9 34.2 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 84 100.0 15.8 50.0 26.3 7.9 34.2 15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

18.2

12.5

48

36

100.0

100.0

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

52.3

46.9

20.5

34.4

29.5

40.6

15.5

15.5

9.1

6.3

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	in to	reste 19	ON	Basic ok	Profite 0/0	Advor Profic
		Emo	ign des	leste ologi		0/0	0/0	Advar olo Profic
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts	/	
	Grade 3	27	N/A	3.7	55.6	37.0	3.7	40.7
	Grade 4	19	N/A	15.8	63.2	21.1	N/A	21.1
8	Grade 5	29	N/A	34.5	58.6	6.9	N/A	6.9
2002	Grade 6	23	N/A	25.0	35.0	35.0	5.0	40.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	25	100.0	12.5	37.5	37.5	12.5	50.0
	Grade 4	33	100.0	31.0	41.4	24.1	3.4	27.6
8	Grade 5	26	100.0	26.1	56.5	17.4	N/A	17.4
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	27	N/A	7.4	37.0	37.0	18.5	55.6
	Grade 4	19	N/A	31.6	31.6	21.1	15.8	36.8
2002	Grade 5	29	N/A	41.4	55.2	3.4	N/A	3.4
20	Grade 6	23	N/A	19.0	52.4	19.0	9.5	28.6
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	25	100.0	8.3	41.7	33.3	16.7	50.0
	Grade 4	33	100.0	17.2	55.2	20.7	6.9	27.6
2003	Grade 5	26	100.0	21.7	52.2	26.1	N/A	26.1
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROF	

SCHOOL PROFILE			Elementer:	
C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 142)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	1.4%	Down from 1.6%	2.6%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	95.4%	Down from 98.9%	95.8%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	19.0%	Up from 17.2%	13.9%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8.6%	Down from 9.5%	8.6%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	N/A	N/A	1.0%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	Down from 1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 9)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	66.7%	Down from 81.8%	45.1%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	66.7%	Down from 100.0%	87.5%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 81.8%	Down from 90.6%	87.7%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.2%	Up from 95.7%	95.2%	95.3%
	\$41,941	Down 4.0%	\$39,613	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	19.0 days	Up from 5.2 days	10.9 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 4.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.3 to 1	Up from 19.8 to 1	18.9 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.5%	Down from 93.3%	89.6%	89.7%
	\$6,517	Down 4.5%	\$5,651	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	60.5%	Up from 59.5%	66.7%	66.6%
	Good	Up from Poor	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 98.9%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
	•		•	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
18.11 86.14 1 1.11			
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuffice	nt Sample
---	-----------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

For the 2002-2003 school year, Mt. Pisgah Elementary School has shown many successes. We have just completed a transition from a K-6 grade structure to a K-5 grade structure during this school year. We received Top 10 status in Grade 3 Math and ELA and Grade 6 Math on PACT. We received incentive awards for Grade 1 Terra Nova Math and Reading and Grade 2 Terra Nova Math. We have also just completed our first year without the financial support of our three-year, \$282,000 Title 1 Reform Grant. With the support of our PTO, we were able to provide all of the programs previously funded through the grant. We have fully operational Reading Renaissance, Math Renaissance, and Perfect Copy programs that are incorporated into our curriculum. Our students passed 10,170 Accelerated Reader tests with at least 85% correct on each of them.

Our staff development opportunities included technology classes, science workshop, ELA curriculum standards, and AIMS workshops. We have one teacher who has been selected to participate in a workshop at Roper Mountain. Two of our teachers presented at the SCIRA conference this past spring, and we had one teacher published in Mailbox magazine. We have two teachers who received National Board Certification and one teacher who is currently seeking her certification. Our SIC and PTO remain very active and continue to support all of our academic programs within our school.

Mt. Pisgah Elementary School is a proud school in a proud community, and our students, parents, and staff are dedicated to continuing our successes.

Duane Pate, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.